UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/578,398 | 05/04/2006 | Yasushi Washio | SHIGA7.049APC | 1842 |
| | 7590 07/28/200 RTENS OLSON & BE | EXAMINER | | |
| 2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTH | | WALKE, AMANDA C | | |
| IRVINE, CA 92 | = = | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1795 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 07/28/2008 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

| Office Action Summary | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|---------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/578,39 | 8 | WASHIO ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Amanda C | . Walke | 1795 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication reply | on appears on the | cover sheet with the o | correspondence ad | dress | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING IN INCHEMENT IN | NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. period will apply and will y statute, cause the app | IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) \ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 08 April 2008 | | | | | | |
| , — | · | This action is n | on-final | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| <u>ا</u> | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applica | ation. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election re | equirement. | | | | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer | | | | | | |
| • | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[| | Objected to by the | Examiner | | | | |
| .0/ | | - | - | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo | oreign priority un | lar 35 S C 8 110/a |)-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| | | oreign priority und | iei 35 0.3.0. § 119(a |)-(u) or (r). | | | | |
| a) | a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority doct | | | ion No | | | | |
| | | | | · | l Stago | | | |
| | _ , , , , | | | | | | | |
| * (| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | see the attached detailed Office action for | a list of the certi | ied copies not receive | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/578,398 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hattori et al (EP762208).

Hattori et al disclose a light sensitive material comprising a binder resin, and initiator, and a polymerizable compound, as well as a solvent. Given the suitable monomers listed for the resin, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ monomers such as (meth)acrylic acid, hydroxyethyl(methacrylate) (instant formula(1)), and a functional (meth)acrylic compound (see pages 4 and 5, as well as polymers 1-3 and 10. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to choose cyclohexyl(meth)acrylate as a component of class (6) in the place of methyl (meth)acrylate in polymer 10). The resultant polymer would meet the instant claims limitations. The material is employed in a method of making a pattern including the steps of coating, drying/ pre-baking, exposed, and developed (see examples).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the reference fails to teach the cyclic containing resin is present in the instantly claimed amount and that the examples in the instant specification demonstrate that unexpected and superior results are obtained by the instant invention. The

Application/Control Number: 10/578,398 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

instant claims require that the cyclic containing component of the resin is present in an amount of 61-90%. The Examples of the specification of the reference teach that methyl methacrylate and ethyl methacrylate are present in the resin in amounts falling within the scope (70%, 85%) and that as in the list of monomers included as equivalent to these is a cyclic component as discussed above (component 7), thus that component is contemplated to be added in amounts falling within the instantly claimed range. Furthermore, the examiner has considered the examples in the instant specification. The only samples include amounts of 35%, 40%, 65%, 71%, 73%, and 75%, missing are points just outside the low end of the range and up to the high end of the range and just outside, therefore the examples are unpersuasive. They would also be unpersuasive due to the fact that the samples all comprise the most preferred embodiment, dicyclopentanyl methacrylate (see page 5 of the instant specification for this teaching), thus the examples are not commensurate in scope with the instant claims. Therefore, the examiner maintains the rejection of record.

In light of the amendment to claim 5, the 112 rejection has been withdrawn.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/578,398 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1795

/Amanda C Walke/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795